UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/593,368 | 09/18/2006 | Mark Miskie | 114/13US | 8860 |
| 36829 7590 10/02/2009 SCHWARTZ LAW FIRM, P.C. 6100 FAIRVIEW ROAD | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HANRAHAN, BENEDICT L | |
| SUITE 11350 CHARLOTTE, NC 28210 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3761 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/02/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|
| N 42 CAL 1 | 10/593,368 | MISKIE, MARK |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | BENEDICT L.C. HANRAHAN | 3761 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | l l |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | , |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | · |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6 | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | 5). received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on | | |
| after the expiration of the period for reply. | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review |
| 7. ☐ The reason(s) below: | | |
| /Tatyana Zalukaeva/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761 | /BENEDICT L.C. HANRAI Examiner, Art Unit 3761 | HAN/ |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) **Notice of Abandonment** Part of Paper No. 20090930